

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,163	01/02/2001	Robert C. Eisenman	RE-1	9699	
34284	7590 02/12/2004		EXAMI	NER	
	ROBERT D. FISH; RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP			LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC	
P.O. BOX 19 611 ANTON	BLVD., 14TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SA, CA 92628-1950	2636			
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/753,163	EISENMAN, ROBERT C.			
Omos Nousin Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication can	Julie Lieu	2636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	• •				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 November 2003:					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/are pending in the application	A MANYOR SPECK 19				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-32</u> is/áre rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t et am palphagen at 1997 (1996)				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement:				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	enging of the co				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attacheronto	priority of				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theories Summan	(PTO. 413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			
. upor rocomun pate	-/				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/753,163

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This office action is in response to amendment filed November 28, 2003. Claims 1-25 have been canceled. New claims 26-32 have been added.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 26-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nantz et al (US Patent No. 5,873,412) in view of Burr et al. (US Patent Applicant No. 2001/0028196).

Claim 26:

Nantz discloses a vehicle remote control device that has a capability of triggering a signal that activates an alarm on a nearby motor vehicle. Nantz fails to disclose a second trigger on the same device that transmits a location to recipient at a distant location that communicates with a ground dispatch station. Nonetheless, as technology advances, modern vehicles are optionally equipped with more and more equipments for providing additional features. Burr discloses that some remote keyless entry system may incorporate a burglar proof device, a remote control staning device, a vehicle condition display system, a GPS. In light of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, by the time the invention was made to incorporate a GPS

Card 3 D

Application/Control Number: 09/753,163

Art Unit: 2636

device in the device of Nantz's because it is well known and provides more modern technology which is preferable in the art.

Claim 27:

The device in Nantz further comprises a connector for attachment of a key. See fig. 1.

I The State of the

.

Claim 28:

The alarm is part of a security system in Nantz.

Claim 29:

The device in Nantz comprises a switch for activating the security system.

Claim 30:

The device in Nantz comprises a switch for de-activating the security system.

Claim 31:

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to trigger use the same trigger to trigger first and second triggers as desired since this is only a matter of choice in design as to how the system would work.

Claim 32:

It is not clear whether the system in Nantz comprises a speaker that emits sound.

Nevertheless, the concept of using a speaker to produce a sound when a vehicle alarm system is activated or deactivated is old and conventional in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply this concept in Nantz's because it would provide confirmation to a user that the command signal has been received.

....

Property of the state of the state of

Application/Control Number: 09/753,163

Art Unit: 2636

4. 'Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 703-308-6738. The examiner can normally be reached on MaxiFlex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A Hofsass can be reached on 703-305-4717. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

. How may be

- year live or

105 47-7 F F F

Julie Lieu

14.

. 14F.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2636

Feb. 6, 2004